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One in three seniors falls each year in the United States, according to a prospective study. Some falls lead to bumps and bruises, but others can cause fractures, head trauma, and even death if the person is unable to place a call to access the help they need. With the rising senior population, health care organizations are under constant pressure to manage patients who are at risk for falls so as to improve outcomes and lower costs.

Enter the personal emergency response system (PERS) with automatic fall detection.

A retrospective quantitative analysis of more than 400,000 PERS users whose falls were registered by an emergency response center showed the effectiveness of PERS with automatic fall detection. These systems detected more than twice as many falls as systems requiring a button-push to register the fall. During an emergency, the user may not be able to push their help button because they are unconscious or may be experiencing motor function impairment.

More reporting of falls can lead to better outcomes, faster hospital transport, shorter hospital stays, and interventions that can be undertaken because others know that a person has experienced a dramatic incident and may be at a greater risk for falling.

What Are the Costs of Falls for the Elderly Population?

The rapidly expanding population of older adults is using more health care per capita than any other age group. Ways of controlling associated rising costs include facilitating healthy aging or aging in place.

In 2013, direct medical costs of falls among older adults were $34 billion. Trauma records have shown that among adults 65 and older who fall, 30% have moderate to severe injuries. When these people lie on the floor for a long time, they are often hospitalized for serious injuries and later moved into long-term care. What’s more, the mortality rate from falls is 67% when people lie on the floor for more than 72 hours, but only 12% when the duration is less than one hour.

PERS, also called medical alert systems, can provide seniors who fall with immediate access to appropriate help. PERS enable users to press a button—usually worn as a pendant or wrist strap—that transmits a signal to a response center representative, who then contacts appropriate help. Faster average times for a response center connection, which automatic fall detection provides, enables faster access to help. Such access provides benefits: reduced inpatient admissions, hospital days, and mortality.

But what if the user dismisses the seriousness of their fall, doesn’t want to bother caregivers, or forgets to press the button because of cognitive decline or incapacitation? Such instances are where automatic fall detection technology can provide a potential solution for unreported falls among seniors.

Different Results With Different Technologies

One study testing a PERS with automatic fall detection technology showed that the device had a high rate of falls detection from a standing position (over 95%), a low false alarm rate, and the ability to recognize when a user immediately stood up after a fall.

Between January 2012 and June 2014, more than 400,000 records of seniors equipped with a standard medical alert service, and a group having the system with automatic detection, were analyzed. From this group were selected a subset of 145,315 direct-to-consumer, private-pay users. The difference in fall incidence (number of falls per user per year in this population) between the 69,430 standard PERS users and the 75,885 users of PERS with automatic fall detection was measured.
Within the study population, the rate of recorded falls for those who used a PERS with automatic fall technology was 0.71 falls per year. Among those without auto detection, the fall rate was 0.34 falls per year (Figure 1).

Among a population with similar demographic characteristics and self-reported medical conditions, the implication of these reported falls rates is that standard PERS users press their help button only half the time. In those cases, no action is taken or follow-up evaluation performed.

The study data also reveal the types of help needed by the aforementioned 145,315 subscribers, as shown in Figure 2.

When comparing fall statistics for standard PERS and PERS with automatic fall detection, the latter captured 30% more falls resulting in emergency hospital transport. Users who did not press their help button are assumed to have had similar types of injuries as those using the automatic fall detection PERS. Additionally, the reported rate of falls resulting in a caregiver providing assistance was 100% higher for the PERS users who had automatic fall detection technology.

When a standard PERS user doesn't press their button to report a fall, caregivers and providers may never know about such events and be unaware of problems the senior may be having. The present fall may not have left any lasting scars, but subsequent ones might.

Which Seniors Benefit Most From PERS with Auto Fall Detection?

When a senior is enrolled in a medical alert service, relevant information for optimal service delivery and evaluation of incoming emergency calls (such as specific diseases and medical conditions) is collected.

Nearly three-quarters of PERS with automatic fall detection users had at least one medical condition at service enrollment, while 20% reported five or more.
Reported conditions at enrollment included severe chronic diseases, as well as risk factors such as high blood pressure, high cholesterol, and balance problems/unsteady gait. The conditions are self-reported and don't reflect a complete medical diagnosis, but do provide valuable information to derive insights on fall rates, severity, and outcomes related to disease profiles.

**Fall Rates and Number of Reported Medical Conditions**

A significant increase in the fall rate occurs when three or more conditions are reported. The increase is even greater for the falls that result in emergency transport. Seniors reporting three or more conditions have 15% to 40% more severe falls than seniors reporting no medical conditions (Figure 3), so those with more conditions could potentially benefit from the automated fall technology more than their peers with fewer conditions.

**Fall Rates and Type of Reported Medical Conditions**

Frequently reported conditions include heart conditions, diabetes, cognitive impairment, COPD, osteoporosis, and Parkinson's disease. Seniors reporting these six chronic conditions all have a significantly higher severe fall rate compared to those reporting no conditions, as shown in Figure 4.

**Conclusion**

Qualitative analysis of a large PERS user database shows that the addition of automatic fall detection identifies twice as many falls than are reported with standard PERS solutions. Falls requiring hospital transport are reported 30% more often with PERS having automatic fall detection. The potential benefits to seniors, caregivers, and health care organizations may include:

Among a population with similar demographic characteristics and self-reported medical conditions, the implication of these reported falls rates is that standard PERS users press their help button only half the time. In those cases, no action is taken or follow-up evaluation performed.

Overall fall rate (a) and fall rate with hospital transport (b) of PERS with automatic fall detection users reporting different numbers of medical conditions. Additional bars represent 95% confidence intervals.
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FIGURE 4

Fall rate with hospital transport of user of PERS with auto fall detection by reported medical condition.
Additional bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

- Delivery of prompt urgent care
- Avoidance of unnecessary care
- Prevention of future falls
- Enabling proactive care
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Questions

1. According to a prospective study, how many seniors in the United States fall each year?
   a. 1 in 2
   b. 1 in 5
   c. 1 in 3
   d. 1 in 7

2. Falls can lead to:
   a. Bumps and bruises
   b. Fractures and head trauma
   c. Death
   d. All of the above

3. In 2013, direct medical costs of falls among older adults were:
   a. $25 billion
   b. $34 billion
   c. $38 billion
   d. $42 billion

4. What is the mortality rate from falls when people lie on the floor for more than 72 hours?
   a. 12%
   b. 62%
   c. 67%
   d. 73%

5. Immediate access to a fallen individual helps provide:
   a. Reduced inpatient admissions
   b. Reduced morbidity
   c. Reduced mortality
   d. All of the above

6. Automatic fall detection technology can provide a potential solution for unreported falls among seniors.
   a. True
   b. False

7. A study to test a PERS with automatic fall detection technology showed that the device detected what percentage of falls from a standing position?
   a. 85%
   b. 90%
   c. 95%
   d. 98%

8. Seniors who would benefit from a PERS with automatic fall detection technology include individuals with:
   a. Heart conditions
   b. Cognitive impairment
   c. COPD
   d. All of the above

9. A significant increase in fall rates occurs when a senior has 3 or more chronic conditions.
   a. True
   b. False

10. The potential benefits to seniors, caregivers, and healthcare organizations for those seniors with a PERS with automatic fall detection technology includes:
    a. Delivery of prompt care
    b. Avoidance of unnecessary care
    c. Prevention of future falls
    d. All of the above
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Objectives

1. State three benefits of a personal emergency response system (PERS) with optimized automatic fall detection.
2. State the fall rates by type of help needed reported to a response center by users of standard PERS or personal emergency response systems with auto fall detection.
3. State which seniors benefit most from PERS with auto fall detection?
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